



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,702	02/20/2002	Yiu Lau Lam	APB2922US	8673
7590 01/30/2004		EXAMINER		
Ralph J. Mancini AKZO NOBEL INC. 7 Livingstone Avenue Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-3408			ILDEBRANDO, CHRISTINA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

g 9. %•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/079,702	LAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christina Ildebrando	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	October 2003					
. <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 October 2003</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	/ <del></del>					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3 and 6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2,4,5,7 and 8 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complete	ccepted or b) objected to by the Ine drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the factor of the translation of the foreign language processing the specific reference was included in the factor of the foreign language processing the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the specific reference w	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Est of the certified copies not receive stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(expression of the specification or provisional application has been recestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/079,702 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-8 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 9-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
   1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2, missing comma after "alumina". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wachter.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,702

Art Unit: 1725

Wachter (US 5,221,648) discloses a catalyst composition useful in the catalytic cracking of hydrocarbons. The catalyst composition comprises a matrix material and a zeolite having uniform pores with diameters ranging from about 4-15 angstroms (column 2, lines 50-65). The matrix material comprises silica, alumina, and a clay component such as kaolin (column 2, line 65 – column 3, line 10). It is taught that the matrix material includes pores having diameters in the range of 20-200 angstroms (column 3).

With respect to the language of the claims, the zeolite taught by Wachter is considered to correspond to Catalyst A and the matrix material taught by Wachter is considered to correspond to Catalyst B. The matrix material will necessarily have a larger average pore volume in pores having diameter of 20-200 angstroms. When the examiner has reason to believe that the functional language asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in claimed subject matter may in fact be an inherent characteristic of the prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to Applicants to prove that the subject matter shown in the prior art does not possess the characteristics relied upon. *In re Fitzgerald et al.* 205 USPQ 594.

With respect to claim 3, the reference teaches an average pore diameter in pores having a diameter in the range of 20-200 angstroms which meets the instant claims.

Refer to the Figures, column 3, and the Examples.

As each and every element of the claimed invention is taught in the prior art as recited above, the claims are anticipated by Wachter.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,702 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 4-5, and 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach or suggest the claimed relationship between the pore volume of catalyst A with respect to catalyst B, as required by claim 2. The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a catalyst composition wherein cracking catalyst A has the composition required by claim 4. The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a catalyst composition wherein cracking catalyst B comprises 5-15% by weight zeolite, as required by claim 7. The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a catalyst composition wherein catalyst B comprises 1-15% by weight of a rare earth metal oxide as required by claim 8.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The original Declaration is unsigned. Applicant is requested to re-file a copy of the executed Declaration, as filed May 1, 2002.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Ildebrando whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/079,702

Art Unit: 1725

272-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5, with Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Christina Ildebrando Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1725

1/21/04

CAI January 21, 2004